



# European Dermatology Forum

## Guideline on the Management of Partners of Persons with Sexually Transmitted Infections

Developed by the Guideline Subcommittee of the  
**European Dermatology Forum**

### *Subcommittee Members:*

Prof. Dr. George-Sorin Tiplica, Bucharest (Romania)  
Dr. Keith Radcliffe, Londong (United Kingdom) Mrs Ceri Evans, London (United Kingdom)  
Prof. Dr. Mikhail Gomberg, Moskow (Russia) Dr. Rak Nandwani, Glasgow (United Kingdom)  
A/Prof. Dr. Alexandru Rafila, Bucharest (Romania) Dr. Laura Nedelcu, Bucharest (Romania)  
A/Prof. Dr. Carmen Salavastru, Bucharest (Romania)

### *Members of EDF Guideline Committee:*

Prof. Dr. Werner Aberer, Graz (Austria) Prof. Dr. Dieter Metze, Muenster (Germany)  
Prof. Dr. Martine Bagot, Paris (France) Prof. Dr. Gillian Murphy, Dublin (Ireland)  
Prof. Dr. Nicole Basset-Seguín, Paris (France) PD Dr. Alexander Nast, Berlin (Germany)  
Prof. Dr. Ulrike Blume-Peytavi, Berlin (Germany) Prof. Dr. Martino Neumann, Rotterdam (Netherlands)  
Prof. Dr. Lasse Braathen, Bern (Switzerland) Prof. Dr. Tony Ormerod, Aberdeen (United Kingdom)  
Prof. Dr. Sergio Chimenti, Rome (Italy) Prof. Dr. Mauro Picardo, Rome (Italy)  
Prof. Dr. Alexander Enk, Heidelberg (Germany) Prof. Dr. Annamari Ranki, Helsinki (Finland)  
Prof. Dr. Claudio Feliciani, Rome (Italy) Prof. Dr. Johannes Ring, Munich (Germany)  
Prof. Dr. Claus Garbe, Tuebingen (Germany) Prof. Dr. Berthold Rzany, Berlin (Germany)  
Prof. Dr. Harald Gollnick, Magdeburg (Germany) Prof. Dr. Rudolf Stadler, Minden (Germany)  
Prof. Dr. Gerd Gross, Rostock (Germany) Prof. Dr. Sonja Ständer, Muenster (Germany)  
Prof. Dr. Vladimir Hegyi, Bratislava (Slovakia) Prof. Dr. Wolfram Sterry, Berlin (Germany)  
Prof. Dr. Michael Hertl, Marburg (Germany) Prof. Dr. Eggert Stockfleth, Berlin (Germany)  
Prof. Dr. Dimitrios Ioannides, Thessaloniki (Greece) Prof. Dr. Alain Taieb, Bordeaux (France)  
Prof. Dr. Gregor Jemec, Roskilde (Denmark) Prof. Dr. George-Sorin Tiplica, Bucharest (Romania)  
Prof. Dr. Lajos Kemény, Szeged (Hungary) Prof. Dr. Nikolai Tsankov, Sofia (Bulgaria)  
Dr. med. habil. Gudula Kirtschig, Nottingham (United Kingdom); Tübingen (Germany)  
Prof. Dr. Elke Weisshaar, Heidelberg (Germany) Prof. Dr. Robert Knobler, Vienna (Austria)  
Prof. Dr. Sean Whittaker, London (United Kingdom) Prof. Dr. Annegret Kuhn, Muenster (Germany)  
Prof. Dr. Fenella Wojnarowska, Oxford (United Kingdom)  
Prof. Dr. Marcus Maurer, Berlin (Germany) Prof. Dr. Christos Zouboulis, Dessau (Germany)  
Prof. Dr. Kai Munte, Rotterdam (Netherlands) Prof. Dr. Torsten Zuberbier, Berlin (Germany)

### *Chairman of EDF Guideline Committee:*

PD Dr. Alexander Nast, Berlin (Germany)

Expiry date: 09/2017

---

*EDF Guidelines Secretariat to PD Dr. Alexander Nast:*

Bettina Schulze, Klinik für Dermatologie, Venerologie und Allergologie, Campus Charité Mitte,  
Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Charitéplatz 1, 10117 Berlin, Germany  
phone: ++49 30 450 518 062, fax: ++49 30 450 518 911, e-mail: bettina.schulze@charite.de

## Conflicts of interests

<b>2014 European guidelines for the management of partners of persons with sexually transmitted infections</b>					
		George-Sorin Tiplica	Keith Radcliffe	Laura Nedelcu	Ceri Evans
1	Grant	NO	NO	NO	NO
2	Consulting fee or honorarium	NO	NO	NO	NO
3	Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes	NO	NO	NO	NO
4	Fees for participation in review activities, such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like	NO	NO	NO	NO
5	Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript	NO	NO	NO	NO
6	Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support	NO	NO	NO	NO
7	Other	NO	NO	NO	NO

\* This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

<b>Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work</b>					
1	Board membership	NO	NO	NO	NO
2	Consultancy	NO	NO	NO	NO
3	Employment	NO	NO	NO	NO
4	Expert testimony	NO	NO	NO	NO
5	Grants/grants pending	NO	NO	NO	NO
6	Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus	NO	NO	NO	NO
7	Payment for manuscript preparation	NO	NO	NO	NO
8	Patents (planned, pending or issued)	NO	NO	NO	NO
9	Royalties	NO	NO	NO	NO
10	Payment for development of educational presentations	NO	NO	NO	NO
11	Stock/stock options	NO	NO	NO	NO
12	Travel/accommodations/meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed**	NO	NO	NO	NO

13	Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	NO	NO	NO	NO
----	--	----	----	----	----

\* This means money that your institution received for your efforts.

\*\* For example, if you report a consultancy above there is no need to report travel related to that consultancy on this line.

Other relationships					
1	Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?	NO	NO	NO	NO

## Conflicts of interests

<b>2014 European guidelines for the management of partners of persons with sexually transmitted infections</b>					
		Mikhail Gomberg	Rak Nandwani	Alexandru Rafila	Carmen Maria Salavastru
1	Grant	NO	NO	NO	NO
2	Consulting fee or honorarium	NO	NO	NO	NO
3	Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes	NO	NO	NO	NO
4	Fees for participation in review activities, such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like	NO	NO	NO	NO
5	Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript	NO	NO	NO	NO
6	Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support	NO	NO	NO	NO
7	Other	NO	NO	NO	NO

\* This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

<b>Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work</b>					
1	Board membership	NO	NO	NO	NO
2	Consultancy	NO	NO	NO	NO
3	Employment	NO	NO	NO	NO
4	Expert testimony	NO	NO	NO	NO
5	Grants/grants pending	NO	NO	NO	NO
6	Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus	NO	NO	NO	NO
7	Payment for manuscript preparation	NO	NO	NO	NO
8	Patents (planned, pending or issued)	NO	NO	NO	NO
9	Royalties	NO	NO	NO	NO
10	Payment for development of educational presentations	NO	NO	NO	NO
11	Stock/stock options	NO	NO	NO	NO
12	Travel/accommodations/meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed**	NO	NO	NO	NO
13	Other (err on the	NO	NO	NO	NO

	side of full disclosure)				
--	--------------------------	--	--	--	--

\* This means money that your institution received for your efforts.

\*\* For example, if you report a consultancy above there is no need to report travel related to that consultancy on this line.

Other relationships					
1	Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?	NO	NO	NO	NO

# 2014 European guidelines for the management of partners of persons with sexually transmitted infections

Proposed date for revision: 2019

Lead editor: Keith Radcliffe (UK)

Lead author: George-Sorin Tiplica (RO)

Co-authors: Ceri Evans, Mikhail Gomberg, Rak Nandwani, Alexandru Rافila, Laura Nedelcu, Carmen Salavastru

## A. Definitions

- **Index case / index person:** person identified with a sexually transmitted infection (STI), who represents the starting point of partner management.
- **Contact:** a person who has been exposed to a sexually transmitted infection by having sexual contact (vaginal, oral, anal) with an infected person (the index case) during their incubation period.
- **Partner management / partner notification / contact tracing / partner referral:** the process of identifying the contacts of a person infected by an STI and referral to a health care provider for appropriate management. It represents a public health activity that also serves to the benefit of individuals who are notified and offered treatment for STI.
- **Epidemiological treatment:** treatment of sexual contacts of a person infected by an STI in advance of confirmation by laboratory testing.

## B. Objectives and aims of partner management<sup>1,2</sup>:

- To interrupt the chain of transmission for an STI.
- To prevent re-infection of the index patient – for curable STIs (curable STIs refer mainly to bacterial and parasite infections; viral infections are mainly considered to be incurable) (grade B, level IIa)<sup>3</sup>.
- To identify people that have already been infected and to offer them early treatment in order:
  - to prevent complications (grade B, level III)<sup>4</sup>
  - to obtain total cure – for curable STIs
  - to reduce viral load and future spread of disease – for incurable viral STIs (especially HIV)
- To promote safer sexual behaviour in persons at risk of infection.

Partner management should be performed as part of prevention programmes together with strategies that help control the spread of STIs<sup>2,5</sup> (grade B, level Iib)<sup>2</sup>.

There is a wide difference in European countries regarding partner management, in terms of strategies and techniques applied<sup>6</sup>. There are several socio-economic issues that lead to the diversity of strategies, such as: privacy and human rights, legislation, cultural factors, religious beliefs, health care systems<sup>4</sup>. All partner management should be voluntary and observe the international/EU laws and human rights.

Partner management can be performed in various settings that deal with sexual health (e.g.: hospitals, clinics, primary care, youth services, community pharmacies, community-based testing centers)<sup>7</sup> and in various disciplines of medicine (e.g. dermato-venereology, sexual health, primary care, gynecology, infectious diseases).

Benefits for index case:

- Prevention of re-infection.
- Decreasing rate of future STI infection by appropriate counseling, advice and information

Benefits for contacts:

- Providing (early) treatment for infected contacts, including asymptomatic contacts.
- Preventing complications by offering early treatment.
- Screening for concomitant STIs and offering treatment.
- Decreasing rate of future STI infection by appropriate counseling, advice and information

Benefits for public health:

- Control of STI outbreaks.
- Reduction in the period of infectiveness leading to reduced onward transmission.
- Reduction in unsafe sexual behavior in communities and populations at risk.
- There is little evidence for cost-effectiveness of partner management due to small number of studies, but early identification, treatment and prevention of complications in infected persons results in cost savings<sup>3</sup>. (grade B, level III)<sup>3</sup>

Effectiveness of partner management should be evaluated regularly by monitoring the number of contacts identified, number of contacts traced, number of contacts who tested positive for the infection and number of contacts treated.

Very few studies have assessed partner management and its effects on individual and community health. Outcomes of partner management need to be thoroughly evaluated by randomized controlled trials. Papers modelling *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection have demonstrated that partner management is reliable for case finding but not for reducing prevalence<sup>8</sup>.

Partner management is recommended and should be offered in the case of STI that are curable and/or have serious short and long-term implications for a person's health.

### **Infections that require partner management** (alphabetical order)

Chancroid

*Chlamydia trachomatis* infection (including Lymphogranuloma venereum)

Donovanosis

Epididymo-orchitis

Gonorrhoea

Hepatitis A

Hepatitis B

Hepatitis C

HIV infection

Non- gonococcal urethritis

Pelvic inflammatory disease

*Phthirus pubis* infestation

Scabies

Syphilis

*Trichomonas vaginalis* infection

Formal partner management for genital warts is not required; however, appointment for sexual partners can be considered for the purposes of explanation and reassurance.

Genital infections with herpes simplex viruses types 1 and 2 usually do not require partner management as no significant benefits for contacts have been determined in terms of disease evolution and future infectiveness. Testing asymptomatic partners of index cases with genital herpes with type-specific serology, if available, can provide useful information about risks of transmission<sup>1</sup>.

For information on diagnosis and treatment of specific diseases, please see IUSTI guidelines available at <http://www.iusti.org/regions/Europe/>.

### **Partner management**

All contacts of an infected person, starting from the presumed time of infection for the index case, need to be informed about the possibility of infection<sup>1</sup>. However partner management is voluntary and needs to be performed with respect to human rights, social, cultural and religious behaviours, therefore, in many cases, not all contacts can be identified, nor notified. Partner management requires dedicated and special trained staff (dermato-venereologists, nurses, health care professionals).

## Lookback periods

It is often difficult to determine the precise time of infection for the index case. Contacts have to be traced back in time according to type of infection, history and laboratory testing (Table 1)<sup>1,9,10</sup>.

Table 1. Partner Management Lookback Periods

<b>Disease</b> (alphabetical order)	<b>Period to trace contacts</b> (from onset of symptoms)	<b>Epidemiological treatment</b>
Chancroid	10 days	Yes
<i>Chlamydia trachomatis</i> infection (including Lymphgranuloma venereum)	Six months	Yes
Donovanosis (Granuloma inguinale)	Up to one year according to estimated time of infection	Yes
Epididymo-orchitis	Six months	Yes
Gonorrhoea	Three months	Yes
Hepatitis A	According to estimated time of infection or two weeks before the onset of jaundice	No. Consider testing and/or vaccination of sexual and household contacts.
Hepatitis B*	According to estimated time of infection or two weeks before the onset of jaundice	No. Consider testing and/or vaccination of sexual and household contacts.
Hepatitis C*	As far back as estimated time of infection if index case and/or contact is HIV positive (men-who-have-sex-with men only)	No
HIV**	Three months in recent infection or since last negative HIV test or guided by the sexual history if untested	Post-exposure prophylaxis where indicated by national guidelines
Non- gonococcal urethritis	Four weeks	Yes
Pelvic inflammatory disease	Six months	Yes
<i>Phthirius pubis</i> infestation	Three months	Yes
Scabies	Two months	Yes

Syphilis	primary	Three months	Yes
	secondary	Six months	Yes
	early latent	Two years	Yes
	late latent and tertiary	Up to 30 years	No
<i>Trichomonas vaginalis</i> infection		Two months	Yes

\* Possible vertical transmission may require screening of children

\*\* Partner management should be offered at follow-up visits if there are new sexual contacts who are either HIV negative or of unknown HIV status or if other STIs are detected.

In some situations the index case history can reveal important clues for the lookback time frame: a previous negative test (e.g. in an STI clinic, by antenatal screening or on blood donation) or a likely exposure to STI given the sexual history and knowledge about local epidemiology (e.g. an MSM who only started having sex with other men at a defined moment in time or a heterosexual who gives a history of a single-high risk partner) or a good history of recent infection with HIV (as shown by a typical acute HIV (sero-conversion) illness or an HIV avidity test suggesting infection within the last 4-5 months).

Some STIs can also be vertically transmitted. It may be necessary to consider testing the mother if the person was born in a country where antenatal testing for these infections was not reliable. Also, if the index patient is a woman with children then it may be necessary to test the children for these infections unless it can be shown that the woman was negative after the delivery of the child (or children).

### **C. Steps for partner management**

#### **C. 1. Offering support and gaining trust**

Besides offering appropriate treatment, the health professional (physician or nurse) must explain to the index patient the importance of identifying his/her contacts, who may be asymptomatic at the time of his/her diagnosis and the need of treatment in order to stop the onward transmission of the STI<sup>5</sup>. The health professional must ensure the individual understands the risks of getting re-infected without proper treatment of his/her contacts<sup>11</sup>. In order to obtain relevant data, the index case must be willing to co-operate. The index case will be informed to abstain from sexual activities until he/she and all sexual partners have received treatment and are no longer infectious. The health professional must offer to the patient and to all sexual partners information on how to reduce future risk of acquiring and transmitting a STI (e.g. use of condoms) and also on the importance of follow-up and possible complications of the STI<sup>9</sup>.

#### **C. 2. Identification of contacts**

The health professional (physician, nurse, epidemiologist) should obtain from the index patient the contact data of the individuals he/she has had sex with during the incubation period of the disease<sup>2</sup>. As many infections are asymptomatic then the precise time of infection can often not be established; a previous negative test for the infection may define the time period during which contact tracing needs to be carried out so such information should be specifically sought. This includes prior antenatal testing or blood donation (e.g. testing for HIV and syphilis). Sometimes, it is impossible to find out data about all the contacts, but an effort needs to be made to obtain as much information as possible.

#### Data to be obtained<sup>1,2,12</sup> :

- number of contacts
- names of contacts
- addresses, phone numbers and email addresses of contacts
- insights into sexual networks
- explicit details about relationship with contacts, sexual practices, use of condoms.

#### Principles<sup>1,2</sup>

The health professional:

- must assure the index case of confidentiality (but also explain that there may be certain limitations of confidentiality, depending on national law and policy e.g. if evidence emerges of child sexual abuse)
- must show empathy
- must be a good listener and encourage dialogue
- must be flexible in approach
- must ask open questions
- must not imply judgment.

The index case:

- will identify his/her contacts voluntarily and without coercion
- can decline to co-operate.

In cases where an index case is initially uncooperative, further efforts by the same, or a different health care worker, are sometimes productive. The most frequent reasons why an index case refuses to identify his/her contacts are: timidity, fear of loss of confidentiality, patient not accepting or not being reconciled with the diagnosis, patient unaware of consequences for contacts. Index patients will usually agree to identify contacts if they are correctly counseled and informed by the practitioner or healthcare worker about the consequences of STIs (including the risk of re-infection), if they are allowed enough time to reconcile with the diagnosis (more than one interview

with the practitioner or healthcare worker could be needed), if they are offered assurance that notification of contacts will not break confidentiality.

The health professional will have to break the confidentiality in the case of illegal findings (e.g. abuse of children) or if a partner is judged as being at risk of serious disease as a result of non-disclosure. Identification of contacts should not be a pre-requisite for providing appropriate medical care for the index case.

### **C. 3. Notifying partners**

When choosing the strategy of delivering information to the partners the index case should be offered the possibility to choose between the following options<sup>2</sup>:

- C. 3. A. information delivered by the index case
- C. 3. B. information delivered by a health professional

#### **C. 3. A. Information delivered by the index case**

The index case takes responsibility of informing his/her contacts (*patient referral*).

The health professional (physician, nurse, epidemiologist, health care worker trained to conduct partner management) must provide the individual with the information that will be transmitted to the contact:

- name of the infection
- possible infection of the contact
- need of immediate treatment and referral to a health care provider
- risk of complications
- need for follow-up
- future sexual practices
- future risk of reinfection or transmission

The index patient should be offered a letter (or card) containing information his/her contacts need<sup>1</sup>.

Simple patient referral represents the spoken advice from the health care personnel about the need to treat the contacts of the index case.

Enhanced patient referral defines a group of strategies that can be used by health care personnel to increase rates of contacts being informed by the index<sup>13</sup>. Among these strategies, the following can be cited: written information (leaflets, booklets), videos with disease specific educational material, internet links to websites dealing support for STI patients, reminders by telephone, internet or other means. In some cases, a trained professional can rehearse the notification with the index patient. Counseling offered to the index patient, appropriate education and information has been proven to

increase the number of contacts presenting for screening and treatment<sup>13</sup>. There is no consistent evidence of superiority in treatment of contacts for any of the various strategies of enhanced patient referral<sup>8</sup>.

For curable STIs reinfection can be reduced with faster times for contact treatment<sup>8</sup>. This is the rationale why, according to national policies, in some countries, the index person may also be provided with appropriate treatment or prescriptions to be given to the contact<sup>5</sup>, without the need of the contact to present for a medical examination. This is called expedited partner therapy<sup>13</sup>. However, it does not exclude referral to a specialist for appropriate testing. Expedited partner therapy proved to be more successful in decreasing reinfection of index patients with curable STIs such as chlamydia, gonorrhoea and non-gonococcal urethritis when compared to simple patient referral<sup>13</sup>. However, reinfection rates for chlamydia are higher than for other STIs. Expedited partner therapy can lead to more contacts being treated than with simple patient referral<sup>13</sup>.

Enhanced patient referral and expedited partner therapy are reported to be similar in terms of reinfection of the index patient<sup>13</sup>. Some other countries do not provide treatment for contacts through the index person as this might contribute to increasing resistance to therapy or because it is not approved by professional regulatory bodies.

In European countries, where partner management is required by law, the index case should sign a declaration in front of the health professional that he/she will notify the contact/contacts (see Annex 1 for example document).

In some countries, the index patient is allowed a certain amount of time to inform his partners (according to either legislation or agreement between the health care worker and the index case). Afterwards, the health care professional can inquire whether the contacts have been informed. If not, he can proceed to notification<sup>2</sup>. This is called *contract referral*.

### **C. 3. B. Information delivered by a health professional**

When the index case does not want to take responsibility to inform his/her contacts or does not want his identity to be revealed to his/her contacts, a health care professional could inform the identified partner(s) directly; this could be done by telephone, SMS, email or letter (see Annex 2 for example communication). This is called *provider referral*. Its implementation is more expensive for the health care system than is patient referral.

The health professional who informs the contact can be a physician, a nurse, an epidemiologist or a health care worker trained to conduct partner management<sup>1,2</sup>.

Provider referral compared to patient referral results in more partners presenting to the health professional in order to be diagnosed and, if necessary, treated<sup>13</sup>

There are various means of informing the contacts:

- by telephone
- by letter, email or text
- by visit to the partner's home
- by notification sent to the partner's general practitioner (see Annex 3 for example document).

The following information needs to be conveyed:

- sexual contact with an infected person
- name of the infection
- possible infection of the contact
- need of immediate treatment and referral to a health care provider
- risk of complications
- need for follow-up.

The importance of appropriate testing and treatment should be emphasised.

There is no good evidence for the superiority of any of these strategies to enhance partner management<sup>13</sup>. Provider referral and contract referral are more expensive, but have proved to identify more contacts than patient referral in the case of HIV patients<sup>13</sup>.

#### **D. Management of contacts**

A contact/partner should be appropriately tested for the infection he/she has been exposed to. If infection is identified, the treatment should be started immediately. In some cases of STI, when a person is a known contact of infection, practitioners decide to give epidemiological treatment which is therapy administered in advance of laboratory confirmation of the infection (grade C, level IV). (see Table 1 and European guidelines for Anogenital warts, Chancroid, *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection, Lymphgranuloma venereum, Donovanosis, Epididymo-orchitis, Gonorrhoea, HIV, Non-specific urethritis (non-chlamydial, non-gonococcal), Pelvic inflammatory disease, Syphilis, *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection – available at: <http://www.iusti.org/regions/Europe/euroguidelines.htm>).

According to history and risk behaviors, the contact should be tested for other types of sexually transmitted infections<sup>4,9,11</sup>(grade A, level 1)<sup>4</sup>. A further partner management process should be started for the secondary contacts (i.e. additional partners of the contacts other than the original

index case) if infection is confirmed in them. Immediate treatment should be considered if a contact cannot attend regular visits for clinical examination and serological testing<sup>9</sup>.

### **E. Notification of contacts to authorities**

In some European countries some STI (e.g. syphilis, gonorrhoea, HIV infection) have to be notified to the health authorities, therefore a report of the index case and, if required by the national law, report of contacts should be filled in.

**Acknowledgements:** *Prof. Dr. Nicola Low, Dr. Rajul Patel, Dr. Jackie Sherrard*

### **Composition of European STI Guidelines Editorial Board**

Refer to document at: <http://www.iusti.org/regions/Europe/euroguidelines.htm>

### **List of contributing organisations**

Refer to document at: <http://www.iusti.org/regions/Europe/euroguidelines.htm>

### **References**

1. Australasian Society for HIV Medicine. Australasian contact tracing manual. 3rd Edition, 2006, p 1-68. Available at: <http://www.ashm.org.au/images/publications/aust-contact-tracing.pdf> (last accessed 31 December 2013).
2. Cowan F, French R, Johnson AM. The role and effectiveness of partner notification in STD control: a review. *Genitourin Med* 1996;72:247-252.
3. Turner K, Adams E, Grant A, Macleod J, Bell G, Clarke J, Horner P. Costs and cost effectiveness of different strategies for chlamydia screening and partner notification: an economic and mathematical modelling study. *Br Med J* 2011;342:c7250.
4. Lanjouw E, Ossewaarde J.M, Stry A, Boag F, van der Meijden W.I. European guideline for the management of Chlamydia trachomatis infections. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2010 Nov;21(11):729-37.
5. Centers for Disease Control and prevention. Sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines, 2010. Recommendations and Reports December 17, 2010 / 59(RR12);1-110 Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr5912a1.htm> (last accessed 31 December 2013).

6. Arthur G, Lowndes CM, Blackham J, Fenton KA. Divergent approaches to partner notification for sexually transmitted infections across the European union. *Sex Transm Dis* 2005;32:734-41.
7. Healthcare Improvement Scotland. Sexual Health Services Standards March 2008, p 13.  
Available at:  
[http://www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org/previous\\_resources/standards/sexual\\_health\\_services\\_final\\_s.aspx](http://www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org/previous_resources/standards/sexual_health_services_final_s.aspx) (last accessed 31 December 2013).
8. Althaus CL, Turner KM, Mercer CH, Auguste P, Roberts TE, Bell G, Herzog SA, Cassell JA, Edmunds WJ, White PJ, Ward H, Low N. Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of traditional and new partner notification technologies for curable sexually transmitted infections: observational study, systematic reviews and mathematical modelling. *Health Technol Assess.* 2014 Jan;18(2):1-100, vii-viii.
9. Kingston M, French P, Goh B, Goold P, Higgins S, Sukthankar A, Stott C, Turner A, Tyler C, Young H; Syphilis Guidelines Revision Group 2008, Clinical Effectiveness Group. UK National Guidelines on the Management of Syphilis 2008. *Int J STD AIDS.* 2008 Nov;19(11):729-40.
10. McClean H, Radcliffe K, Sullivan A, Ahmed-Jushuf I. 2012 BASHH statement on partner notification for sexually transmissible infections. *Int J STD AIDS.* 2013 Apr;24(4):253-61.
11. Bignell C, Fitzgerald M; Guideline Development Group; British Association for Sexual Health and HIV UK. UK national guideline for the management of gonorrhoea in adults, 2011. *Int J STD AIDS.* 2011 Oct;22(10):541-7.
12. Brook G, Bacon L, Evans C, McClean H, Roberts C, Tipple C, Winter AJ, Sullivan AK. 2013 UK national guideline for consultations requiring sexual history taking. *Clinical Effectiveness Group British Association for Sexual Health and HIV. International Journal Of STD & AIDS [Int J STD AIDS]* 2014 May; Vol. 25 (6), pp. 391-4.
13. Ferreira A, Young T, Mathews C, Zunza M, Low N. Strategies for partner notification for sexually transmitted infections, including HIV. *The Cochrane Database Of Systematic Reviews [Cochrane Database Syst Rev]* 2013 Oct 03; Vol. 10. Cochrane AN: CD002843. Date of Electronic Publication: 2013 Oct 03.

### **Levels of Evidence**

- Ia Evidence obtained from meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials.*
- Ib Evidence obtained from at least one randomised controlled trial.*
- IIa Evidence obtained from at least one well designed study without randomisation.*
- IIb Evidence obtained from at least one other type of well designed quasi-experimental study.*

*III Evidence obtained from well designed non-experimental descriptive studies such as comparative studies, correlation studies, and case control studies.*

*IV Evidence obtained from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experience of respected authorities.*

### **Grading of Recommendations**

*A (Evidence levels Ia, Ib): Requires at least one randomised control trial as part of the body of literature of overall good quality and consistency addressing the specific recommendation.*

*B (Evidence levels IIa, IIb, III): Requires availability of well conducted clinical studies but no randomised clinical trials on the topic of recommendation.*

*C (Evidence IV): Requires evidence from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experience of respected authorities. Indicates absence of directly applicable studies of good quality.*

### **Search strategy**

#### Resources

PubMed (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed>)

Biomedical Reference Collection (via EBSCO Host - <http://web.ebscohost.com/ehost/>)

Medline (via EBSCO Host - <http://web.ebscohost.com/ehost/>)

#### Keywords

partner management partner notification contact tracing index case index person notifying partners epidemiological treatment counselling STI contacts tracing STI control lookback periods provider referral prevention effectiveness	Combined with AND search	Anogenital warts Chancroid Chlamydia trachomatis Lymphgranuloma venereum Donovanosis Granuloma Inguinale Epididymo-orchitis Gonorrhoea Hepatitis A Hepatitis B Hepatitis C HIV Non-specific urethritis Pelvic inflammatory disease Phthirus pubis infestation Scabies Syphilis Trichomonas vaginalis
--	--------------------------	---

Searches were performed in December 2013.

**Annex 1.**

Example of patient consent regarding partner notification for European Countries where partner notification is required by law. For documents required by law in your country (that could stand up in court) see National Guidelines for Management of STIs.

**Patient consent and declaration regarding partner notification for sexually transmitted infections**

Date .....

I [name of patient] have been informed by [name of health care professional] that I have been diagnosed with a sexually transmitted infection I understand that I have to undergo appropriate treatment in order to treat the infection and avoid future complications.

I understand the importance of notifying all my sexual partners from the past ..... months [appropriate time depending on type of disease and presumed time of infection see Table 1] that they have potentially been exposed to the above named infection . I declare that I will proceed to

inform them in the shortest time possible, refer them to an appropriate health care professional in order to be tested and receive treatment.

I have been warned about future risk of reinfection or infection transmission if I or my partners do not complete the appropriate treatment.

[Patient]

[Signature]

### **Annex 2.**

**Sample letter to be sent to contacts.** For documents required by law in your country see national guidelines for management of STIs.

Date .....

Dear [name of contact],

One of your sexual partners has been diagnosed with [name of sexually transmitted infection ], which is a sexually transmitted infection. There is a high chance that you may have been infected , even if you do not have any symptoms at present.

You need to attend your health care provider, in order to be tested and receive appropriate treatment.

Left untreated, the disease can lead to severe complications.

If you are at risk, you may be tested for other sexual transmitted infections

If you are infected, you can transmit this to your sexual partners. Please remember not to have sexual intercourse until you have completed treatment. Please bear in mind that, if you are tested positive, all your sexual partners of the previous six months have to be informed, tested and treated, otherwise, you can pass the infection from one to another.

After completing the treatment, you are not protected against being re-infected. Please remember to always use a condom when having sexual intercourse, to prevent reinfection of acquisition of other sexually transmitted infections.

Sincerely,

[Health care professional]

[Signature]

### **Annex 3.**

**Sample letter to be sent to general practitioners.** For documents required by law in your country see national guidelines for management of STIs.

Date .....

Dear Colleague,

One of your patients, [patient name], has been identified as sexual contact of a person that tested positive for [name of infection ], which is a sexually transmitted infection. As a sexual contact, there is a high chance that he/she also got infected, even if he/she is asymptomatic at present.

Please notify your patient in the shortest time possible and refer him/her for appropriate testing and treatment. Left untreated, the disease can lead to severe complications.

If he/she is infected, he/she can transmit the disease to his/her sexual partners. Please advise your patient not to have sexual intercourse until completion of treatment..

Sincerely,

[Health care professional]

[Signature]

#### **Declarations of Interest**

Keith Radcliffe – none to declare

George-Sorin Tiplica – none to declare

Ceri Evans – none to declare

Mikhail Gomberg – none to declare

Rak Nandwani – none to declare

Alexandru Rafila – none to declare

Laura Nedelcu – none to declare

Carmen Salavastru– none to declare